

**PUTNAM PRACTICE SET 23**

PROF. DRAGOS GHIOCA

*Problem 1.* Show that each positive integer can be written as a sum of integers of the form  $2^a \cdot 3^b$  (for nonnegative integers  $a$  and  $b$ ) with the property that no integer from the chosen sum divides a different integer from the sum.

**Solution.** We proceed by induction on the integer  $n \geq 1$  which we want to express as a sum of integers of the form  $2^a \cdot 3^b$ ; clearly, the statement holds for  $n \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ . Now, we assume the statement holds for all integers less than some number  $N$  (greater than 3) and next we show the same conclusion holds for  $N$ .

Now, if  $N$  is even, then we simply note that by our inductive hypothesis, the integer  $\frac{N}{2}$  can be written as a sum of integers  $s_i$  of the form  $2^a \cdot 3^b$  (none of those integers dividing a different integer from the same sum) and so,  $N$  is the sum of the corresponding integers  $2s_i$  (with the same property).

Next, if  $N$  is odd, then we let  $m$  be the largest positive integer with the property that  $3^m \leq N < 3^{m+1}$ . We let

$$k := \frac{N - 3^m}{2};$$

clearly, if  $k = 0$  then  $n = 3^m$  and we are done. So, from now on, we assume  $1 \leq k$ ; also, clearly,  $k < N$ . Now, by the induction hypothesis, we can write

$$k = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} 2^{a_i} 3^{b_i},$$

with  $a_i, b_i \geq 0$  and moreover, no integer from the above sum divides another one of those  $\ell$  integers from our sum. We write then

$$N = 3^m + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} 2^{a_i+1} 3^{b_i}$$

and we show next that no integer in the above sum divides a different integer from the above sum of  $\ell + 1$  integers. Now, clearly no integer of the form  $2^{a_i+1} 3^{b_i}$  divides another integer of the same form (by our inductive hypothesis) and also, it cannot divide  $3^m$  (since  $a_i + 1 \geq 1$ ). So, the only possible obstruction to our desired conclusion would be if

$$3^m \mid 2^{a_i+1} 3^{b_i}$$

for some  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ , i.e., if  $m \leq b_i$ . But then that would mean that  $k \geq 2^{a_i} 3^{b_i} \geq 3^m$ , i.e.,

$$3^m \leq \frac{N - 3^m}{2}$$

which means that  $N \geq 3^{m+1}$ , contradiction. This concludes our proof.

*Problem 2.* Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $P \in \mathbb{C}[z]$  be a polynomial of degree  $2n$ , all of whose roots have absolute value equal to 1. Let

$$g(z) := \frac{P(z)}{z^n}.$$

Prove that each solution for  $g'(z) = 0$  (where  $g'$  is the derivative of  $g$ ) has absolute value equal to 1.

**Solution.** We let  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{2n}$  be all the roots of  $P(z)$  (we allow for the possibility that some  $\omega_i = \omega_j$  for  $i \neq j$ ). We know that  $|\omega_i| = 1$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, 2n$ . Now, if one of the solutions  $z_0$  to the equation  $g'(z) = 0$  is among the  $\omega_i$ , then clearly also  $|z_0| = 1$ , as desired.

We have that  $g'(z) = 0$  precisely when

$$P'(z) \cdot z^n - n z^{n-1} \cdot P(z) = 0$$

and  $z \neq 0$  (note that  $g(z) = P(z)/z^n$  and so, because  $P(0) \neq 0$  because  $\omega_i \neq 0$ , then we cannot have that  $g$  and therefore  $g'$  is not defined at  $z = 0$ ).

We let  $z_0$  be a solution to the above equation and also assume  $P(z_0) \neq 0$  (due to our assumption that  $z \neq \omega_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, 2n$  because otherwise we would automatically have  $|z_0| = 1$ ), then we can divide by  $z_0^{n-1} \cdot P(z_0)$  and thus we get that

$$z_0 \cdot \frac{P'(z_0)}{P(z_0)} - n = 0.$$

An easy computation (using the product rule!) shows that

$$\frac{P'(z_0)}{P(z_0)} = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \frac{1}{z_0 - \omega_i}.$$

So, after doubling the above equation, we get

$$(1) \quad 0 = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \frac{2z_0}{z_0 - \omega_i} \right) - 2n = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \left( \frac{2z_0}{z_0 - \omega_i} - 1 \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \frac{z_0 + \omega_i}{z_0 - \omega_i}.$$

(Also, note that we assumed each  $z - \omega_i$  is nonzero.)

After multiplying each fraction by  $\bar{z}_0 - \bar{\omega}_i$  and noting that  $\omega_i \cdot \bar{\omega}_i = 1$ , we get

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \frac{|z_0|^2 - 1 + (\bar{z}_0 \omega_i - z_0 \bar{\omega}_i)}{|z_0 - \omega_i|^2}.$$

But  $\bar{z}_0 \omega_i - z_0 \bar{\omega}_i$  is always of the form  $i \cdot y$  for some real number  $y$  (i.e., it's a purely imaginary number, it has no real part). So, then taking the real part of the right hand side of (1) yields

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \frac{|z_0|^2 - 1}{|z_0 - \omega_i|^2} = (|z_0|^2 - 1) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \frac{1}{|z_0 - \omega_i|^2},$$

which forces  $|z_0| = 1$  because the above sum from the right hand side is always positive.

*Problem 3.* Let  $A$  be an  $N$ -by- $N$  matrix with the property that each one of its entries is equal to 1 or  $-1$  and also satisfying that  $A \cdot A^t = N \cdot \text{id}_N$  (where  $\text{id}_N$  is the  $N$ -by- $N$  identity matrix). Assume there exists an  $a$ -by- $b$  submatrix of  $A$  whose entries are all equal to 1. Prove that  $ab \leq N$ .

**Solution.** We let  $v_1, \dots, v_a$  be the  $a$  rows in the matrix  $A$  with the property that the  $a$ -by- $b$  submatrix containing only the entries equal to 1 is part of the  $a$ -by- $N$  submatrix of  $A$  formed by the rows  $v_1, \dots, v_a$ . We let

$$w := \sum_{i=1}^a v_i$$

and denote by  $|w|^2 = w \cdot w^t$  the length of this vector. We compute

$$w \cdot w^t = \left( \sum_{i=1}^a v_i \right) \cdot \left( \sum_{j=1}^a v_j^t \right) = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq a} v_i \cdot v_j^t.$$

Finally, noting that  $v_i \cdot v_j^t = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ , while

$$v_i \cdot v_i^t = N \text{ for each } i = 1, \dots, a,$$

we get  $|w|^2 = N \cdot a$ . On the other hand, we know that the vector  $w$  contains  $b$  entries each one of them equal to  $a$ . Therefore,

$$|w|^2 \geq b \cdot a^2,$$

which combined with the fact that  $|w|^2 = Na$  yields the desired inequality  $ab \leq N$ .

*Problem 4.* Evaluate

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\ln(x+1)}{x^2+1} dx$$

**Solution.** We make the substitution  $x = \tan(t)$  and so,  $dx = \sec^2(t) \cdot dt$ , while the bounds of integration change to  $t = 0$  and  $t = \frac{\pi}{4}$  and so, our integral  $I$  equals now

$$\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}} \frac{\ln(\tan(t)+1)}{\tan^2(t)+1} \cdot \sec^2(t) dt = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}} \ln(\tan(t)+1) dt = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}} \ln(\sin(t)+\cos(t)) - \ln(\cos(t)) dt$$

Now, we use the identity

$$\sin(t) + \cos(t) = \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - t\right) + \cos(t) = 2 \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right) \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{4} - t\right) = \sqrt{2} \cdot \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{4} - t\right)$$

and so, we get that our integral  $I$  equals

$$\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}} \ln(\sqrt{2}) + \ln\left(\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{4} - t\right)\right) - \ln(\cos(t)) dt = \frac{\pi}{4} \cdot \ln(\sqrt{2}) + \int_{t=0}^{\frac{\pi}{4}} \ln\left(\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{4} - t\right)\right) dt - \int_{t=0}^{\frac{\pi}{4}} \ln(\cos(t)) dt.$$

On the other hand, using the substitution  $t = \frac{\pi}{4} - u$ , we get that

$$\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}} \ln\left(\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{4} - t\right)\right) dt = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}} \ln(\cos(u)) du$$

and so, our integral  $I$  equals

$$\frac{\pi}{4} \cdot \ln(\sqrt{2}) = \frac{\pi \ln(2)}{8}.$$